PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	AUTHOR	ITY	Alle.			
Го:				PCT PCT		
				ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See Form PCT/ISA/210 (sheet 2)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference	;		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
BCT040159/CN				See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/FR2004/0027	66	27.10.2004		31.10.2003		
International Patent Classification A23C9/123 Applicant RHODIA CHIMIE						
1 <u> </u>	lications rela	ting to the following item	s:			
Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion				
Box No. II	Priority					
Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ty of invention				
Box No. V	Reasoned stapplicability	atement under Rule 43bis; citations and explanation	s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ons supporting such statement			
Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited				
Box No. VII	Certain def	ects in the international ap	plication			
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see n	otes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.				
	C + EP		Authorized officer			
Name and mailing address of the	ISA/EP		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.	·		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/002766

Box	c No. I	Basis of this opinion					
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under					
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a.	type of material					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
٠	b.	format of material					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c.	time of filing/furnishing					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
		in a company listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or					
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4.	Add	litional comments:					
"							
İ		\cdot					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/002766

Box			le 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	3	YES
		Claims	1, 2, 4-8	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	3	YES
		Claims	1, 2, 4-8	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: LITOPOULOU-TZANETAKI ET AL: "Biotechnologically important metabolic activities of pediococcus isolates from milk and cheese" MICROBIOLOGIE ALIMENTS NUTRITION 1989 FRANCE, vol. 7, no. 2, 1989, pages 113-122, XP009032098 ISSN: 0759-0644
- D2: EP-A-0 574 681 (QUEST INT) 22 December 1993 (1993-12-22)
- D3: US-A-4 880 743 (MATROZZA MARK A ET AL) 14 November 1989 (1989-11-14)
- D4: BHOWMIK T ET AL: "CHARACTERISTICS OF LOW-FAT CHEDDAR
 CHEESE MADE WITH ADDED MICROCOCCUS OR PEDIOCOCCUS SPECIES"
 MILCHWISSENSCHAFT, VV GMBH VOLKSWIRTSCHAFTLICHER VERLAG.
 MUNICH, DE, vol. 45, no. 4, 1990, pages 230-235,
 XP000134265 ISSN: 0026-3788
- D5: ANONYMOUS: "Low-fat Cheddar taste improved by adding Pediococcus bacteria." MODERN DAIRY 1992, vol. 71, no. 1, February 1999 (1999-02), page 28, XP009032087

Novelty

The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) since the subject matter of independent claim 1 does not meet the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).

D1 (page 120, paragraph 3) and D2 (page 4, lines 1-38) anticipate the subject matter of claim 1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/002766

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Inventive step

The feature of claim 3 is not disclosed in the documents cited in the international search report. It is suggested that the applicant should draft a new independent claim with a view to introducing this feature therein.

Remarks

Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a) (ii), the relevant prior art disclosed in documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.